

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,027	09/04/2003	Barry Byron	33535/US	8490	
25763 DORSEY & W	7590 08/11/200 /HITNEY LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	JAL PROPERTY DEPA	DESAI, I	DESAI, HEMANT		
SUITE 1500 50 SOUTH SE	XTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IS, MN 55402-1498	3721	3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,027	BYRON ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hemant M. Desai	3721				

	Hemant M. Desai	3/21					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 openods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this 5 no event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) n MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office among reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 \(\)\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) \(\)\) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) \(\)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belc (c) \(\)\) They are not deemed to place the application in bel application. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 204)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•					
7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 23.25-33 and 35-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from onosideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

/Hemant M Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended subject matter to the specification raise the issue of new matter because the description of the invention requirement is to clearly convey the information that an applicant has invented the subject matter which is claimed." In re Barker, 559 F.2d 588, 592 n.4, 194 USPQ 470, 473 n.4 (CCPA 1977). Another objective is to put the public in possession of what the applicant claims as the invention. See Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly, 119 F.3d 1559, 1566, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 104 (Fed. Cir. 1997), cert denied, 523 U.S. 1089 (1998). To satisfy the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe the claimed invention in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can reasonably conclude that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention. See, e.g., Moba, B.V. v. Diamond Automation, Inc., 325 F.3d 1306, 1319, 66 USPQ2d 1429, 1438 (Fed. Cir. 2003); Vas-Cath, Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d at 1563, 19 USPQ2d at 1116. Further the rejection made to claims 23, 25-33, 35-42 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), in the final Office Action is deemed proper.